

THE CORPORATION OF THE DISTRICT OF SUMMERLAND COUNCIL REPORT

DATE: June 8, 2017 File: 2016-1787

TO: Linda Tynan, Chief Administrative Officer

FROM: Dean Strachan, MCIP, RPP, Director of Development Services

Kris Johnson, P.Eng., Director of Works and Utilities

SUBJECT: OCP Amendment and Rezoning – 13610 Banks Crescent - Update

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

That Council pass the following resolution:

THAT the update report dated June 8, 2017 from the Director of Development Services and the Director of Works and Utilities in relation to the OCP Amendment and Rezoning for 13610 Banks Crescent be received.

PURPOSE:

To receive a progress update on review and study components related to the OCP Amendment and Rezoning for 13610 Banks Crescent.

BACKGROUND and DISCUSSION:

These following items remain under study and review:

- 1. Letter received from Freshwater Fisheries Society of BC dated February 24, 2017.
 - a. On June 8, 2017 Staff received a letter and report with the results and recommendations from the applicants on the alternate water source for the Freshwater Fisheries Society of BC hatchery facility (see Figure 1).
 - b. Staff have forwarded the report to the Freshwater Fisheries Society for their review. Staff spoke with Kyle Girgan, Hatchery Manager to confirm their receipt of the report. They have confirmed their receipt and indicated they will be reviewing and having their professionals also review. Once they have completed their review they will be contacting District Staff to meet and discuss. Staff will also be reviewing the proposed options.
- 2. Letter received from the Penticton Indian Band (PIB) dated January 26, 2017.
 - a. District staff met with PIB Development Services staff on March 14, 2017. Good discussion between staff occurred on both the Banks Crescent application and development in general. PIB staff requested additional information on the Banks Crescent application, that has been provided by staff. Staff to staff correspondence has continued.
 - b. The RDOS committee on referral protocol was scheduled to have a meeting in March, to date a meeting time and date has not yet been

confirmed. District staff followed up with RDOS staff and were informed that they plan to schedule a meeting.

- 3. Revised and updated Environment Assessment Reporting in accordance with the District of Summerland Terms of Reference for Environmental Reports.
 - a. The applicants consulting biologist has completed and submitted a revised report. The District's Environmental Planner, Alison Peatt, RPBio provided her review comments which were included as a late item with the May 23, 2017 update report.
 - b. The Ministry of Forest, Lands and Natural Resource Operations has asked to receive the revised report and has indicated they will be providing a referral response following their review.
 - c. The Penticton Indian Band has been sent a copy of the final report as they requested.
- 4. District Revenue Analysis.
 - a. The Finance Department is working on their analysis and reporting.
- 5. High level plan for upgrades required for road sections determined through the traffic study to be upgraded from local roads restricting truck use to collector roads permitting truck use.
 - a. The applicant's Engineering Consultant has submitted a revised traffic study for review. District staff provided comments to the applicant and have received responses to these comments along with a revised traffic study which is being reviewed.
 - b. Road modifications and/or improvements are to be identified in the traffic study and detailed design drawings are to be prepared following finalization of the traffic study.
- 6. Sanitary sewer service modelling for full build out of lift station and mains in service catchment area.
 - a. The applicants Engineering Consultant provided updated sanitary sewer flow data expected to be generated from the proposed development.
 - b. Staff have provided the updated information to our Engineering Consultant in order to model the impacts to the downstream gravity sewer system and lift station.
- 7. Identify the preferred water service option and what off site works would be required.
 - a. The applicant's Engineering Consultant have now selected a preferred water service option and have submitted a preliminary design drawing. District staff have provided comments to the applicant and have received responses to these comments along with a revised concept servicing memo which is being reviewed.
- 8. Additional storm water design including off site line routing plan.
 - a. The storm water management plan has been submitted. District staff have provided comments to the applicant and have received responses to these comments along with a revised concept servicing memo which is being reviewed.

- 9. Additional electrical design and modelling for onsite construction purposes as well as potential off site upgrades required.
 - a. District Staff is reviewing the proposed electrical load and the impact to the electrical system. Staff is also reviewing the projected demand in comparison to the capacity of the existing substations with Fortis.
 - b. Staff requested that the Applicant to review alternate methods to heat the buildings to reduce the electrical demand.
 - c. The Applicant is currently completing the design to bring temporary power from Lakeshore Drive for construction and also to allow the existing power poles onsite to be removed and not impact the electrical system.

As previously noted, additional areas of review and study may be identified through the information gathering process. Once the above noted study and review is completed a summary report will be prepared including a summary of the community consultation comments and questions received with responses and answers provided where possible and/or applicable. It is anticipated that the additional information gathered would likely result in more detailed additional and/or alternate amenity provisions being recommended. It is noted that several outstanding items are outside of District Staff control. We continue to correspond and seek timeline updates.

At the May 23, 2017 Council meeting staff provided a memo a result of questions and discussion in relation to the subject application and the Official Community Plan, staff are preparing a memo outlining the OCP objectives, policies and intent in relation to the application. This memo is being completed and will be added to the report on Tuesday May 23, 2017.

LEGISLATION and **POLICY**:

The Bylaws related to the subject application have received second reading, however, a Public Hearing has not yet been scheduled.

The mechanism proposed to be used for addressing concerns, requirements, conditions and bonding security would be a Development Agreement. The Development Agreement would be completed, presented to Council and would need to be approved in advance of the Rezoning Bylaw being adopted. As the proposed development would not be constructed all at once the Development Agreement would include provisions to be addressed at each construction phase. As part of this process, a No-Build and No-Disturb 219 Restrictive Covenant would be registered prior to adoption of the Rezoning Bylaw. This covenant would only be released for each phase once the detailed designs are approved and/or provisions are completed and bonding security is in place.

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:

There are no financial implications anticipated to result from the subject recommendation.

CONCLUSION:

The study and review continues to progress. The applicant has engaged professionals in the necessary fields to complete the studies and reviews requested. Staff continue to review the information provided, monitor progress on all components and will continue to regularly update Council on progress.

OPTIONS:

- Move the motion as recommended by Staff.
 Request additional information on one or more updates provided.

Submitted by,

Dean Strachan, MCIP, RPP **Director of Development Services**

Kris Johnson, P.Eng.

Director of Works and Utilities

Approved for Agenda

Figure 1



While the deep water lake intake option is the most expensive option, it does provide a number of robust, long term viability benefits which make it the favored of the options reviewed. It is also worth noting that the water quality tests were performed at what could be considered a worst-case scenario with respect to the most recent levels of precipitation and flooding exceeding norms in the region.

Lark Enterprises supports maintaining the drilled well option as a secondary option should the lake option not end up being viable; however at this time we are fully committed to working with the District of Summerland in developing a deep water lake intake as the contingency water supply to be provided for the FFSBC.

In order to continue with the development of this option, Lark Enterprises is requesting to work with the District of Summerland in the use of the existing water license commencing immediately upon the conclusion of the rezoning process.

Sincerely,

Lark Enterprises Ltd.

Malek Tawashy, Development Project Manager

Attachments:

- Larrat Aquatic Consulting Letter on Possible Intake for Summerland Hatchery
- CTQ Summary of Water Quality Analysis of Options



To: Malek Tawashy, Lark Group

Re: Possible Intake for Summerland Hatchery

Hello Malek:

The analytical results from the samples collected from the area of Okanagan Lake in front of the Summerland Hatchery on May 16 2017 from 20 m depth and 30 m depth with 2m clearance to the substrates are appended. They were compared to the BC MoE MAC and 30-day guidelines for the protection of aquatic life. There were no exceedances. This information is appended to this letter.

These results are within the normal range for the southern basin of Okanagan Lake. An extensive history of water quality in this basin, but not specific to the proposed intake location, is available from BC MoE, Penticton. The southern basin is a stable, reliable water source. Obtaining a new license may be challenging.

When the 20 m sample and the 30 m sample are compared, they are similar in most respects, however, the 20 m sample may be showing more of an influence from creek plumes/lake flooding than the 30 m sample. This is best indicated in the difference between TDS (191 vs 177 mg/L) and TSS (2.4 vs <2.0 mg/L). Since 2017 is experiencing the largest flooding event recorded, these results are indicative of a worst-case freshet condition.

We trust this information is helpful,

Heather Larratt, R.P. Bio.

Phone: 250.769.5444 Email: heather@larratt.net



ABID			7051456-01	7051456-02	BCMo	E MAC	BC MoE	30-day		
CUENT ID			Site 20m	Site 30m	20m Guidelines	30m Guidelines	20m Guidelines	30m Guidelines		
DATE SAMPLED			2017-05-16	2017-05-16						
)ATE RECEIVED			2017-05-16	2017-05-16						
√ATRIX			Water	Water						
Analyte	Units	MRL								
Bromide	mg/L	0.1	<0.10	<0.10						
Chloride	mg/L	0.1		4.29	600	600	150	150		
Fluoride		0.1		0.19	1.397219652		130	130		
	mg/L	0.01		0.19			3	3		
Nitrate (as N)	mg/L				32.8					
Nitrite (as N)	mg/L	0.01		<0.010	0.18	0.18	0.06	0.06		
Sulfate	mg/L	1		30.8			309	309		
Alkalinity, Total (as CaCO3)	mg/L	2		110						
Ammonia, Total (as N)	mg/L	0.02		0.023	5.96	5.96			< based upon T=8.0°C	and pH=8.
BOD, 5-day	mg/L	2		<7.0						
Nitrogen, Total Kjeldahl	mg/L	0.05		0.069						
Phosphorus, Total (as P)	mg/L	0.002		0.0061						
Phosphorus, Total Dissolved	mg/L	0.002	0.0049	0.0059						
Phosphorus, Dissolved Reactive	mg/L	0.005	0.0056	0.0064						
Solids, Total Dissolved	mg/L	10	191	177						
Solids, Total Suspended	mg/L	2		<2.0						
pH	pHunits	0.01		8.04						
Conductivity (EC)	uS/cm	2		285						
Hardness, Total (as CaCO3)	mg/L	0.5		115						
Nitrate+Nitrite (as N)	mg/L	0.01		0.0765						
Nitrogen, Total	mg/L	0.05		0.146						
Nitrogen, Organic	mg/L	0.05		<0.0500						
Calcium, dissolved	mg/L	0.2		30.9						
Magnesium, dissolved	mg/L	0.01		9.12						
Aluminum, total	mg/L	0.005		0.0118	0.1	0.1	0.05	0.05	< <guideline applies="" td="" to<=""><td>DISS-AI</td></guideline>	DISS-AI
Antimony, total	mg/L	0.0001		<0.00010						
Arsenic, total	mg/L	0.0005		<0.00050	0.005	0.005				
Barium, total	mg/L	0.005	0.0222	0.0228						
Beryllium, total	mg/L	0.0001		<0.00010						
Bismuth, total	mg/L	0.0001	<0.00010	<0.00010						
Boron, total	mg/L	0.004	0.014	0.013	1.2	1.2				
Cadmium, total	mg/L	1E-05	<0.000010	<0.000010	0.000691452	0.000679281	0.000237393	0.000234399		
Calcium, total	mg/L	0.2		34.1						
Chromium, total	mg/L	0.0005		<0.00050						
Cobalt, total	mg/L	0.0001		<0.00010	0.11	0.11	0.004	0.004		
Copper, total	mg/L	0.0002		0.00076	0.012998		0.00468	0.0046		
Iron, total		0.002		0.012	1		0.00400	0.0040		
	mg/L				0.003					
Lead, total	mg/L	0.0001		<0.00010	0.003	0.003				
Lithium, total	mg/L	0.0001		0.00328						
Magnesium, total	mg/L	0.01		9.49						
Manganese, total	mg/L	0.0002		0.00114	2.2		1.3	1.3		
Molybdenum, total	mg/L	0.0001		0.00359	2	2	1	1		
Nickel, total	mg/L	0.0002		0.00037						
Phosphorus, total	mg/L	0.05		<0.050						
Potassium, total	mg/L	0.02		2.45						
Selenium, total	mg/L	0.0005	<0.00050	<0.00050	0.002	0.002	0.001	0.001		
Silicon, total	mg/L	1	4	4						
Silver, total	mg/L	5E-05	<0.000050	<0.000050	0.003	0.003	0.0015	0.0015		
Sodium, total	mg/L	0.02		11.3						
Strontium, total	mg/L	0.001		0.291						
Sulfur, total	mg/L	3		9.3						
Tellurium, total	mg/L		<0.00020	<0.00020						
Thallium, total	mg/L		<0.00020							
Thorium, total		0.0001		<0.00010						
The state of the s	mg/L									
Tin, total	mg/L	0.0002		<0.00020						
Titanium, total	mg/L	0.005		<0.0050						
Uranium, total	mg/L	2E-05		0.00256						
Vanadium, total	mg/L	0.001		<0.0010						
Zinc, total	mg/L	0.004		<0.0040	0.05325	0.05175	0.02775	0.02625		
Zirconium, total	mg/L	0.0001	<0.00010	<0.00010						

		RASELINE - SPRING			MOG	Analysis Results	entre		APPLICATION 1 - PHIMPHOLISE 6	MPHOTISE 6			WOG	Analysis Besults	Results
mg/L (UNLESS SPECIFIED)	A Section 1	2000	NAMES OF PERSONS	C SOCIETATION IN PART	I was Town & second	Chart Tains	1000				A STATE OF STREET	The second second	Lance Trans. Assessed	Chair There	Denne Treas
	Miax	Mean	Median (dist.)	Snort-Term Max	Long-Term Average	Short-term	Long-Term			Mean	Median (dist.)	Short-Term Max	Long-Term Average	Short-lerm	Congererm
Nitrate				2				0.041	0.010	0.021	0.026				
CaCO3								20.000	24.000	39.667	37.000				
Chloride				000:009	150.000			16.500	10.400	13.200	13.450	27.864	000:009		
Nitrate			1 4.437	7				0.041	0.010	0.021	0.026				
Nitrite				090'0	0.020		te o	0.010	0.010	0.010	0.010	090'0	0.020		
Bromide				0											
Fluoride	0.400			3 1772								1.005			
Sulphate				25	429,000								218.000		
Conductivity				0				150.000	104.000	130,667	127.000				
Ha				0				7 710	7 380	7 600	7 5.45				
TDS			1					75 300	55.400	195 29	65.350				
800 (5 de.3)								20.000	200000	100.10	00:00				
(aCO3	615 000 18	184 000 297 048	399 500			0		56 400	28,600	44 067	42 500				
5000			l	×				AGE OF	00002	200.11	75.000				
Aluminum				00				0.0700	0.0130	0.0443	0.0415	- 1			
Antimony				3				0.0010	0.0001	0.0007	90000				
Arsenic				9				0.0050	0.0005	0.0035	0.0028				
Barium				1				0.0500	0.0310	0.0437	0.0405				
Beryllium				13				0.0010							
Boron				9	1.2000			0.0400	0.0010	0.0177	0.0205		1,2000		
Cadmium				3,8201	0.4713			0.0400	0.0000	0.0134	0.0200	0.3261			
Calcium				0		×-		17.8000	0.0001	10.8667	8.9001				
Chromium		9	9			3 (8.8000	9000'0	2.9352	4.4003		1		
Cobalt	0.0010 0	0.0000 0.0001	1 0.0003			- 100 - 100		0.0050	0.0001	0.0019	0.0025	0.0001	0.1100	HIGH	
Copper				9 59.8100	11.8819			0.0030	0.0005	0.0014	0.0018				
Iron								0.1000	0.0020	0.0373	0.0510				
Lead					0.0160			0.1300	0.0001	0.0437	0.0651		0.0044	HIGH	HGH
Magnesium								2.8900	0.0010	1.7870	1.4455				
Manganese				0 7.3173	1.9120			1.6000	0.0020	0.5357	0.8010	1.1615	0.7989	HIGH	
Molybdenum								0.0350	0.0000	0.0117	0.0175			HIGH	
Nickel	0.0010 0	0000 0.0004	197			0.05		0.0030	0.0020	0.0024	0.0025				
Phosphorus				0.0150	0.0100							0.0150	0.0100		
Potassium								0.0020	0.0002	0.0014	0.0011				
Selenium	0.0020 0			8 0.0100	0.0020			1.3900	1,3000	1.3533	13450	0.0100	0.0020	HIGH	HIGH
Silicon								0.0050	0.0005	0.0035	0.0028				
Silver				3.0000	1.5000			7.0000	0.0000	2.3333	3.5000	0.1000	0.0500	HIGH	HIGH
Sodium				0				0.0005	0.0000	0.0002	0.0003				
Strontium				1											
Sulfur				5											
Tellurium				0											
Thallium	0.0000 0	0.0000 0.0000	0.0000	0											
Thorium				55											
Tin				2		0									
Titanium				2				9.4400	6.7800	7.7400	8.1100				
Uranium				3				0.0005	0.0002	0.0004	0.0004				
Vanadium	0.0050 0	0.0000 0.0010	0.0025	0				0.0100	0.0000	0.0033	0.0050				
Zinc				5		100		0.3200	0.0040	0.1213	0.1620				
Cost	\$						585,056.25	s							172,293.75
Timeline															

	OPTION 2 - DEEP	OPTION 2 - DEEP WATER INTAKE			WOG	Analy	Analysis Results	OPTION 3 - WELL SUPPLY	WELL SUPPLY		WQG	Analysis Results	Results	
Max	Min	Г	Median (dist.)	Short-Term Max		Short-Term	Long-Term	Max	Min	Short-Term Max	Long-Term Average	Short-Term	Long-Term	
9/0'0	0.066	0.071			0			4,000	0.100		0			
								250,000						
4.340	4.290	4.315	4.315	13.200	27.864			40.000	4.000	4.340	4.315	HIGH	HIGH	
0.076			0.071					4.000						
0.010			0.010	09000 01000	0.020			0.010		090'0	0.020			
0.100	0.100			0,										
0.190				75 1.394						1.981				Anions, General/Calculated Parameters
30.800		30.700			309,000						429,000			
285.000		28		0,				000.009	250.000					
8.060	8.040	8.050	8.050	20				8.300	7.500					
								400.000						
7.000	7.000			00										
117.000	115.000	116.000	116.000					500.000	115.000					
0.0118				8										
0 0001				-										
0.0005				35										
0.0228				35										
0.0001	0.0001			11						1				
0.0140	0.0130			50	1.2000						1,2000			
0.0000	0.0000			0.6915	15 0.2359					3.0865	0.4834			
34.1000		34.0000	34.0000	01										
0.0005	0.0005													
0.0001										0.1100				
0.0009					30 4.6400					49.0000	12.3000			
0.0120				10000				4.0000	0.2000	1.0000		HIGH		
0.0001	0.0001				97 0.0072					0.6335	0.0280			
9.4900														
0.0011	0.0008				93 1.1154			2.0000	0.2000	6.0500	1.9580			
0.0036				36 2.0000						2.0000				
0.0007														lotal Metals
0.0059				54 0.0150	50 0.0100					0.0054	0.0054			
2.4500	2.4100													
0.0005				00100	0.0020					0.0100	0.0020			
4.0000														
0.0001	0.0001			3,0000	1,5000					3.0000	1,5000			
11.3000		11.2000		00										
0.2910				00										
9.3000	000006	9.1500		00										
0.0002				12										
0.0000				0.										
0.0001		0.0001		It										
0.0002	0.0002			21										
0.0050				.00				200						
970070				96					202					
0.0010	0.0010	0.0010		10										
0.0040				10										
s							601,425.00 \$	\$					278,100.00	